failure (CHF) attributable to worsening of cardiac function induced by repeated shocks3 and/or frequent use of right ventricular pacing with dualchamber programming.4 Since patients who survive a cardiac arrest are

often older with multiple or severe comorbidities at risk of death resulting from causes other than recurrent ventricular tachyarrhythmias, clinicians

R J Sung,^{1,2} N-Y Chan³

ABSTRACT

¹ Institute of Life Sciences,

National Central University,

Jhongli, Taoyuan, Taiwan;

Division of Cardiovascular

Medicine, Stanford University

School of Medicine, Stanford,

California, USA; ³ Princess

Hong Kong, PR China

Correspondence to: Professor R J Sung, Institute of

Margaret Hospital, Kowloon,

Life Sciences, National Central

University, 300, Jhongda Road,

Jhongli, Taoyuan, Taiwan; rsung@cvmed.stanford.edu

Accepted 8 June 2009

Automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (AICD) is a costly but effective treatment modality for the prevention of sudden cardiac death (SCD). Causes of SCD are agedependent, disease-specific and affected by racial/ethnic differences. Atherosclerotic heart disease (ASHD) is the most frequent underlying disease in individuals \geq 35 years old. Available information suggests that Asians have a lower rate of SCD compared with African black individuals and Caucasians. Whether it is for secondary or for primary prevention, physicians should be educated to perform a thorough diagnostic work-up and be able to identify transient and/or reversible causes of lethal ventricular tachyarrhythmias such as acute myocardial infarction, residual ischaemia, electrolyte imbalance, adverse effect of drugs, valvular heart diseases, etc before contemplating AICD implantation. Correction of these reversible causes may avoid the necessity of AICD implantation. The status of left ventricular function is not sufficiently specific for guiding AICD implantation in ASHD patients after acute myocardial infarction. The urgent need is to develop better biological or physiological markers for risk stratification so that patients who would actually benefit from AICD implantation can be readily identified. Such an approach will make the use of AICD more cost-effective. Based on molecular genetic data obtained from patients with inherited structural cardiovascular diseases and malignant arrhythmogenic disorders in which the risk of SCD appears to be gene- and/ or mutant-specific, a continuous search for genetic markers for better risk stratification is warranted in patients suffering from ASHD.

Implantation of automatic implantable cardioverter-

defibrillator (AICD) is a well-established therapeutic

modality for the prevention of sudden cardiac death

(SCD),¹ but its cost and follow-up care are expensive.

The estimated cost per AICD implantation is about

USD \$30 000 (\$10-15 000 in Asia), and the average

AICD replacement time is 5 years due to its short

battery life (Meditonics, The Asian representatives,

personal communication). In addition, there are

potential complications related to AICD implanta-

tion. These include bleeding, pericardial effusion,

infection, inappropriate shocks and lead fracture

causing AICD malfunction. Less appreciated adverse

effect are poor psychosocial adjustment (eg, fears of

shock, device malfunction, death and embarrass-

ment)² and an increased risk of congestive heart

should be aware that there are subgroups of patients who may not benefit from AICD implantation: those who may die without or prior to the first appropriate AICD therapy (eg, patients with advanced CHF) and those who would never manifest a sustained ventricular arrhythmia.⁵⁶ Most information regarding SCD has emanated from the US and Europe. A critical question to ask is that "should we, as Asians, follow the guidelines for AICD implantation set forth by American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)?"7

SECONDARY PREVENTION OF SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH

For the management of patients who have already experienced a serious sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmia (secondary prevention), the indication of AICD implantation is relatively clear, as AICD is highly effective in terminating ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation, thereby aborting SCD.¹ In this regard, AICD implantation is very likely underused in Asia. Taking Taiwan and Hong Kong as examples, with respective populations of 23 million and 7 million, the numbers of new AICD implantations are approximately 160 and 210 per year, respectively (Meditonics, The Asian representatives, personal communication). Despite its being underused for secondary SCD prevention, clinicians should be educated to meticulously exclude non-cardiac causes of abortive sudden death (eg, asthma, heat stroke, drowning, head trauma, ruptured cerebral artery, blunt chest trauma, aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, etc) and to rule out acute myocardial infarction and all reversible causes of lethal ventricular arrhythmias such as electrolyte imbalance, drug-induced proarrhythmia and valvular heart disease (eg, severe aortic stenosis) before contemplating AICD implantation.

For practical purposes, a diagnostic workup such as exercise testing with or without thallium-201 imaging aimed at identifying the presence or absence of active myocardial ischaemia in patients with atherosclerotic heart disease (ASHD) should be included. The Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) Patch trial⁸ has shown that implantation of AICD is not beneficial in patients who have already undergone CABG. Additionally, the Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation (COURAGE) Trial Nuclear Substudy⁹ has revealed that the extent of residual myocardial ischaemic burden under optimal medical therapy with or without percutaneous coronary intervention positively and proportionally predicts future occurrence of cardiac events. Taken together, it appears that total revascularisation or maximal reduction in the ischaemic burden ought to be considered as an important therapeutic goal for the prevention of SCD.

PRIMARY PREVENTION OF SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH

For primary SCD prevention, the indication of AICD implantation remains debatable. While ASHD is the most common cause of SCD in individuals who are 35 years or older, the risk of SCD is highest during and immediately following acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and then declines thereafter.¹⁰ Nevertheless, one-fourth of arrhythmic deaths still occur in the first 3 months and one-half within the first year after AMI, especially those with left ventricular dysfunction.¹⁰ Accordingly, the 2008 ACC/ AHA/HRS guidelines7 recommend that AICD be implanted prophylactically in ASHD patients with left ventricular ejection fraction $\leq 35\%$ at least 40 days following acute myocardial infarction. However, it was noted in one study¹¹ that only 50% of patients who had had AICD implanted for primary SCD prevention received appropriate AICD therapy for ventricular tachyarrhythmias compared with 74% of patients for secondary SCD prevention during a follow-up period of 3 years. And in another study,¹² appropriate AICD therapy was found to be twice as likely in patients receiving AICD for secondary prevention compared with those for primary prevention of SCD during a follow-up period of 7 years. Thus, it appears that not all patients recovering from AMI with left ventricular dysfunction would need prophylactic AICD implantation, as some of them may not manifest sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

Furthermore, an important issue to ponder is that there are indeed racial/ethnic differences in the rate of SCD. Based on the 1998 USA (US) Vital Statistics data,13 SCD occurred in 456 076 (63%) of 719 456 cardiac deaths aged \geq 35 years, and ASHD was the most frequent underlying disease (58.9–62.9%) followed by cardiomyopathy and arrhythmia (8.8–11.6%). Notably, Asian/ Pacific Islanders were found to have the lowest rate (213 per 100 000) of SCD compared with American Indian/Alaskan native (259 per 100 000), white (407 per 100 000) and African-Americans (503 per 100 000). Also of note, in the State of Hawaii, it was observed that non-Hawaiians, mainly Asian ancestry groups (eg, Filipino and Japanese), had significantly lower cardiovascular mortalities compared with Hawaiians (68.5 vs 375.9 per 100 000) despite having similar cardiovascular risk factors (eg, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, etc).¹⁴ And in a community population-based cohort of 3602 residents $(age \ge 35 \text{ years}, 47\% \text{ male})$ in Taiwan, a 10-year follow-up analysis¹⁵ showed an SCD rate of 73 per 100 000, which was much lower than that of the US as described above. We realise that the data of a small community may not represent the entirety of a country with a population of 23 million. Nonetheless, it does urgently call for further epidemiological studies on the incidence of SCD in many other regions in Asia.

ROLE OF MEDICAL THERAPY IN THE PREVENTION OF SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH WITH AND WITHOUT AICD IMPLANTATION

Antiarrhythmic drug therapy is usually applied as an adjunctive therapy in AICD patients who experience frequent shocks or less commonly as primary therapy in patients who refuse or are not candidates for AICD implantation. Under these circumstances, amiodarone is frequently the drug chosen for this clinical setting.¹⁶ Another relevant aspect of medical therapy is that AICD therapy often shifts arrhythmic death to nonarrhythmic death, especially CHF in this subset group of patients. The Defibrillator in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial (DINAMIT) study¹² reported that prophylactic AICD implantation in patients with left ventricular dysfunction and impaired cardiac autonomic function 6–40 days after AMI did not alter the overall mortality; the AICD group had a substantially lower rate of death due to arrhythmia than the control group (1.5% vs 3.5% per year) but had more deaths from non-arrhythmic causes, mostly CHF, compared with the control group (6.1% vs 3.5% per year). Consequently, an aggressive medical regimen against CHF should be implemented in patients who are candidates for AICD implantation. A list of pharmacological agents including beta-adrenergic blocking agents, angiotensin II-converting-enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin II-receptor blockers, statins and aldosterone antagonists have individually been shown to improve CHF and reduce rates of total mortality and SCD.¹⁷ In fact, these agents should be given soon after AMI to reduce ventricular remodelling if there are no contraindications.

ROLE OF MOLECULAR GENETICS IN THE RISK STRATIFICATION OF SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH

In patients who are 35 years or younger, there is an increasing incidence of SCD caused by inherited structural cardiovascular diseases and malignant arrhythmogenic disorders.¹⁸ In the former, most frequently encountered are hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/ cardiomyopathy and dilated cardiomyopathy, and in the latter, congenital long QT syndrome (LQTS), Brugada syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) and short QT syndrome. The risk of SCD in these various inherited diseases/disorders appears to be gene- and/or mutant-specific and affected by racial and ethnic differences.¹⁸

For examples, Brugada syndrome, also referred to as "sudden unexpected nocturnal death syndrome,"¹⁹ is endemic in east and southeast Asia, particularly in northeastern Thailand, Philippines and Cambodia with a mortality as high as 38/ 100 000. Approximately 30% of patients with such a syndrome have mutations in SCN5A resulting in reduced Na⁺ current. Interestingly, the prevalence of the Brugada-type ECG pattern in the asymptomatic South East Asian population is also higher (1–3%) compared with European (0.05%) and Japanese (0.45%) populations.¹⁸ CPVT is caused by mutations in the gene encoding either the ryanodine receptor (RyR2) or calsequestrin (CASQ2).²⁰ Ventricular tachycardia usually occurs during enhanced sympathetic tone (eg, physical activity or acute emotional distress), and the mortality is as high as 30–50% by the age of 40.

In LQTS, LQT1 (KCNQ1, 30–35%), LQT2 (KCNH2, 25–30%) and LQT3 (SCN5A, 5–10%) constitute the majority of the cases.²¹ In LQT1, A341V patients are more likely to have cardiac events compared with non-A341V patients (75% vs 24%) having a rate of SCD as high as 14%.²² LQT7 and LOT8 are rare subtypes of LQTS, also known as Andersen–Tawil syndrome and Timothy syndrome, respectively.^{23 24} LQT7 is caused by mutations in KCJN2 which encodes the cardiac and skeletal muscle inward rectifier K⁺ channel, Kir2.1, and LQT8 is due to mutations in CACNA1C that encodes the pore-forming α -subunit of the cardiac L-type Ca²⁺ channel. LQT7 patients may live into adulthood, but in contrast, LQT8 patients seldom survive beyond 3 years of age.

In HCM, cardiac myosin binding protein C (MYBP3) and beta-myosin heavy chain (MYH7) are most frequently found, 42% and 40%, respectively.²⁵ In 22 index cases with a malignant course, MYH7 was the most prevalent gene (45%) followed by MYBPC3 (18%), cardiac troponin I (TNNI3) (14%) and myosin ventricular regulatory light chain2 (MYL2) (14%). In each protein, "hot spots" associated with malignant prognosis have been identified—for example R403, R719 and R663 in MYH7, R502, D778 in MYBP3, R162 in TNNI3 and R58 in MYL2.²⁶ Of interest, a subset of HCM patients with mutations in the cardiac troponin T (TNNT2) gene have a high risk of SCD associated with no or mild left ventricular hypertrophy, and another subset of HCM patients with mutations in MYH7 and TNNI3 may exhibit a restrictive phenotype associated with a poor prognosis because of severe physical limitations, diastolic heart failure and high rates of atrial fibrillation/flutter and stroke.

Chinese HCM patients have certain features distinctively different from those of Caucasian patients.²⁷ Specifically, they have a high percentage of phenotypic expression of non-obstructive apical hypertrophy in the left ventricle (41% vs 3% in non-Asians and 15% in Japanese) and a high incidence of atrial fibrillation (35% vs 20%) but a relatively low annual mortality (1.6% vs 5.6% in the literature). In contrast to the experience of non-Asian patients, HCM appeared to be more severe in Chinese women, as the female sex is the only independent predictor of mortality. Genetically, MYH7 and MYBCP3 are also found to be the predominant genes similar to those reported in the Western world,²⁷ but the most common hot and malignant mutation R403Q in MYH7 identified in Caucasians has not been documented.

To date, no genetic markers of SCD can be demonstrated in patients with ASHD. Nevertheless, among various ethnicities (black, white, Asian and Hispanic), certain variants of SCN5A and distinct variants in LQTS-causing K⁺ channel genes (KCNQ1, KCNH2, KCNE1 and KCNE2) can be found exclusively in the black cohort.²⁸ Similarly, in studies searching for genetic factors predisposing to arrhythmias associated with myocardial ischaemia/infarction, five rare missense variants in SCN5A (A572D, G615E, F2004L, A572D and A572F) can be seen in 10% (6/60) of white elderly female SCD cases (age 60.8) but in 0% (0/53) of the male counterpart (age 66.5).²⁹ All these genetic variants may alter the repolarisation properties of cardiac tissues, thereby mediating an increased susceptibility to arrhythmias in the settings of diseases or drug ingestion.

CONCLUSION

The decision as to "who and when for AICD implantation" poses a clinical challenge. Because causes of SCD are agedependent, disease-specific and affected by racial and ethnic differences, the decision-making should be individualised. A detailed medical history and a thorough physical examination along with functional diagnostic work-ups remain the mainstay for the decision-making process. Apart from having a different spectrum of causes of SCD, Asians seem to have a relatively lower rate of SCD compared with African black individuals and Caucasians. Current ACC/AHA/HRS guidelines⁷ expanding indications for prophylactic AICD implantation do not appear to be readily applicable in Asians.

It is apparent that left ventricular dysfunction is not a sufficiently specific parameter for guiding AICD implantation after AMI. The urgent need is to develop better biological and/ or physiological markers for risk stratification so that patients who would actually benefit from AICD implantation can be readily identified. Such an approach will make the use of AICD more cost-effective. As inferred from molecular genetic data obtained from patients with inherited diseases/disorders causing SCD, a continuous search for genetic markers for better risk stratification is warranted in patients with ASHD.

Competing interests: None.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed

REFERENCES

- 1. **Goldberger Z**, Lampert R. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: expanding indications and technologies. *JAMA* 2006;**295**:809–18.
- Sears SF, Todaro JF, Lewis TS, et al. Examining the psychosocial impact of implantable cardioverter defibrillators: a literature review. *Clin Cardiol* 1999;22:481–9.
- Hurst TM, HInrichs M, Breidenbach C, et al. Detection of myocardial injury during transvenous implantation of autonomic cardioverter-defibrillators. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;34:402–8.
- Goldenberg I, Moss AJ, Hall WJ, et al. Causes and consequences of heart failure after prophylactic implantation of a defibrillator in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II. Circulation 2006;113:2810–17.
- Hallstrom AP, McAnulty JH, Wilkoff BL, et al. Patients at low risks of arrhythmia recurrence: a subgroup in whom implantable defibrillators may not offer benefit: Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) Trial Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:1093–9.
- Koller MT, Schaer B, Wolbers M, et al. Death without prior appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy. A competing risk study. *Circulation* 2008;117:1918–26.
- Epstein AE, DiMarco JP, Ellenbogen KA, et al. ACC/AHA/HRS 2008 guidelines for devicebased therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the ACC/AHA/NASPE 2002 Guideline Update for Implantation of Pacemakers and Antiarrhythmia Devices). *Circulation* 2008;117:2820–40.
- Bigger JT Jr, Whang W, Rottman JN, et al. Mechanisms of death in the CABG patch trial: a randomized trial of implantable cardiac defibrillator prophylaxis in patients at risk of death after coronary bypass graft surgery. *Circulation* 1999;99:1416–21.
- Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Maron DJ, et al. Optimal medical therapy with or without percutaneous coronary intervention to reduce ischaemic burden: results from the Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation (COURAGE) Trial Nuclear Substudy. *Circulation* 2008;117:1283.
- Solomon SD, Zelenkofske S, McMurray JJ, et al. Sudden death in patients with myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, or both. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2581–8.
- Gillis AM, Sheldon RS, Exner DV, et al. Sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias following ICD implantation—lower event rates in patients in patients receiving ICD for primary prophylaxis of sudden cardiac death [abstract]. Heart Rhythm 2004;(1 Suppl):S43.
- 12. **Hohnloser SH**, Kuck KH, Dorian P, *et al*. Prophylactic use of an implantable cardioveterdefibrillator after acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**:2481–8.
- Zheng ZJ, Croft JB, Giles WH, et al. Sudden cardiac death in the United States, 1989 to 1998. *Circulation* 2001;104:2158–63.
- 14. **Hawaii Department of Health**. *Hawaii health survey 2001*. Honolulu: Hawaii Department of Health, 2002.
- Chang WT, Chen WJ, Chien KL, et al. Sudden death in Taiwan: ten-year follow-up in Chin-Shan Community Cardiovascular Cohort (CCCC) study. The 5th International Conference on Preventive Cardiology (ICPC) jointly with the 4th International Heart Health Conference (IHHC), May, 2001.
- Bardy GH, Lee KI, Mark DB, et al. Amiodarone or implantable cardioverterdefibrillator for congsative hear failure. N Engl J Med 2005;352:225–37.
- Nohria A, Lewis E, Stevenson LW. Medical management of advanced congestive heart failure. *JAMA* 2002;**287**:628–40.
- Sung RJ, Kuo CT, Wu SN, et al. Sudden cardiac death syndrome: age, gender, ethnicity, and genetics. Acta Cardiol Sin 2008:2465–74.
- Vatta M, Dumaine R, Varghese G, *et al.* Genetic and biophysical basis of sudden unexplained nocturnal death syndrome (SUNDS), a disease allelic to Brugada syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2002;11:337–45.
- Liu N, Priori. Disruption of calcium homeostasis and arrhythmogenesis induced by mutations in the cardiac ryanodine receptor and calsequestrin. *Cardiovasc Res* 2008;77:293–301.
- Locati EH, Zareba W, Moss AJ, et al. Age- and sex-related differences in clinical manifestations in patients with congenital long-QT syndrome: findings from the International LQTS Registry. *Circulation* 1998;97:2237–44.
- Crotti L, Spazzolini C, Schwartz PJ, et al. The common long-QT syndrome mutation KCNQ1/A341V causes unusually severe clinical manifestations in patients with different ethnic backgrounds: toward a mutation-specific risk stratification. *Circulation* 2007;116:2366–75.
- Plaster NM, Tawil R, Tristani-Firouzi M, et al. Mutations in Kir2.1 cause the developmental and episodic electrical phenotypes of Andersen's syndrome. Cell 2001;105:511–19.
- Splawski I, Timothy KW, Sharpe LM, et al. Ca(V)1.2 calcium channel dysfunction causes a multisystem disorder including arrhythmia and autism. Cell 2004;119:19–31.
- Richard P, Charron P, Carrier L, et al. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Distribution of disease genes, spectrum of mutations, and implications for a molecular diagnosis strategy. *Circulation* 2003;107:2227–32.
- Ho HH, Lee KL, Lau CP, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of and long-term outcome in Chinese patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Med* 2004;116:19–23.
- Song L, Zou Y, Wang J, et al. Mutations profile in Chinese patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clin Chim Acta* 2005;351:209–16.
- Ackerman MJ, Splawski I, Makielski JC, et al. Spectrum and prevalence of cardiac sodium channel variants among black, white, Asian, and Hispanic individuals: implications for arrhythmogenic susceptibility and Brugada/long QT syndrome genetic testing. *Heart Rhythm* 2004;1:600–6.
- Albert CM, Nam EG, Rimm EB, et al. Cardiac sodium channel gene variants and sudden cardiac death in women. *Circulation* 2008;117:16–23.