Cancer antigen-125 and outcomes in acute heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background Carbohydrate antigen-125 (CA125) is an ovarian cancer marker, but recent work has examined its role in risk stratification in heart failure. A recent meta-analysis examined its prognostic value in heart failure generally. However, there has been no systematic evaluation of its role specifically in acute heart failure (AHF).

Methods PubMed and EMBASE databases were searched until 11 May 2018 for studies that evaluated the prognostic value of CA125 in AHF.

Results A total of 129 and 179 entries were retrieved from PubMed and EMBASE. Sixteen studies (15 cohort studies, 1 randomised trial) including 8401 subjects with AHF (mean age 71 years old, 52% male, mean follow-up 13 months, range of patients 525.1±598.2) were included. High CA125 levels were associated with a 68% increase in all-cause mortality (8 studies, HRs: 1.68, 95% CI 1.36 to 2.07; p<0.0001; I²: 74%) and 77% increase in heart failure-related readmissions (5 studies, HRs: 1.77, 95% CI 1.22 to 2.59; p<0.01; I²: 73%). CA125 levels were higher in patients with fluid overload symptoms and signs compared with those without them, with a mean difference of 54.8 U/mL (5 studies, SE: 13.2 U/mL; p<0.0001; I²: 78%).

Conclusion Our meta-analysis found that high CA125 levels are associated with AHF symptoms, heart failure-related hospital readmissions and all-cause mortality. Therefore, CA125 emerges as a useful risk stratification tool for identifying high-risk patients with more severe fluid overload, as well as for monitoring following an AHF episode.

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a syndrome characterised by ventricular dysfunction and dilatation and a compensatory increase in systemic vascular resistance due to neurohumoural activation.1 Moreover, a proinflammatory environment with altered metabolic substrate utilisation is present.2 HF is a major public health problem globally, with a prevalence of 5.8 million in the USA and more than 23 million worldwide.3 Therefore, it places a burden on healthcare systems, accounting for 19%–29% of the healthcare expenditure.4 Of the total costs, approximately 75% is due to hospital admissions. Rehospitalisation following a hospitalisation for acute heart failure (AHF) is around 20% at 1 month, rising to 50% at 6 months.5 A history of hospitalisation is itself an independent predictor of long-term mortality. Therefore, measures to reduce hospitalisation are likely beneficial in this patient population and will significantly reduce the costs associated with AHF admissions.6 A stepwise approach is needed to achieve this goal. In this case, an understanding of AHF-related prognostic markers is required before it can be used to identify high-risk patients for appropriate intervention to improve prognostic outcome.

The pathophysiology of AHF is complex and multifactorial. Fluid accumulation and redistribution are found in most patients.7 Fluid overload is assessed clinically through symptoms such as dyspnoea and increasing lower oedema, and signs such as raised jugular venous pressure, but their sensitivity and specificity are limited.8 Moreover, prognostic factors for AHF are different from those for chronic HF.9 Consequently, the search for more accurate markers of congestion to guide treatment and inform prognosis remains an unmet clinical need. For example, plasma level of carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), a high-molecular-weight,
soluble glycoprotein released from coelomic or Mullerian epithelium, is long-recognized as a tumour marker of ovarian cancer, but its clinical role in cardiovascular diseases has only been investigated in recent years. Mechanical stress, increased venous pressures and inflammatory stimulus can stimulate meso-endothelial cells to release CA125.

Prior to CA125, many other prognostic markers such as natriuretic peptides, high-sensitivity troponin, proadrenomedullin, soluble ST2 and galectin-3 have been assessed. Despite the effectiveness of some of the prognostic markers, the difficulty remains in obtaining determinable predictors in a short time frame for patients with AHF. Furthermore, a meta-analysis has been published on the prognostic value of CA125 in HF, but only 4 of the 23 included studies concerned patients with AHF. Given the differing pathophysiology of acute versus chronic HF along with the burden of HF shifting from chronic heart failure (CHF) to AHF, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the prognostic value of CA125 in predicting hospital readmission and mortality outcomes specifically in AHF. Not only does this complement the prior meta-analysis of HF in general, it also serves to evaluate CA125 as a potential prognostic marker for AHF-related all-cause mortality, readmission and symptoms.

**METHODS**

*Search strategy, and inclusion and exclusion criteria*

The meta-analysis was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement. PubMed and EMBASE were searched for studies that investigated the relationship between CA125 levels and AHF using the following terms: (((((((((((left ventricular dysfunction) OR cardiac failure) OR cardiac insufficiency) OR AHF) OR CCF) OR heart failure) OR decompensated) OR decompen- sation) AND (((cancer antigen-125) OR Carbohydrate antigen-125) OR AHF))) AND (((cancer antigen-125) OR Carbohydrate antigen-125) OR CA125)). The search period was from the beginning of the databases through to 11 May 2018 without language restrictions. The following inclusion criteria were applied: (1) the design was a case-control, prospective or retrospective cohort study in humans, and (2) CA125 values were provided and related to clinical endpoints in AHF.

The quality assessment of these studies included in our meta-analysis was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS). The point score system evaluated the categories of study participant selection, comparability of the results and quality of the outcomes. The following characteristics were assessed: (1) representativeness of the exposed cohort; (2) selection of the non-exposed cohort; (3) ascertainment of exposure; (4) demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study; (5) comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design of analysis; (6) assessment of outcomes; (7) follow-up period sufficiently long for outcomes to occur; and (8) adequacy of follow-up of cohorts. Each category was assigned a 0 or 1 score in accordance with the assessment form. The total score varied from 0 to 9 stars, which indicated that studies were graded as poor quality if they received 0 or 1 star in the selection domain OR 0 star in the comparability domain OR 0 or 1 star in the outcome/exposure domain; fair if they received 2 stars in the selection domain AND 1 or 2 stars in the comparability domain AND 2 or 3 stars in the outcome/exposure domain; and good if they received 3 or 4 stars in the selection domain AND 1 or 2 stars in the comparability domain AND 2 or 3 stars in the outcome/exposure domain. The details of the NOS quality assessment are shown in online supplementary table 1. Quality assessment of randomised controlled trials was performed using the Cochrane Risk Assessment Tool (online supplementary figures 1 and 2).

**Data extraction and statistical analysis**

Data from the different studies were entered in prespecified spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel. All publications identified were assessed for compliance with the inclusion criteria. In this meta-analysis, the extracted data elements consisted of (1) publication details: surname of first author and publication year; (2) study design; (3) follow-up duration; (4) quality score; and (5) characteristics of the population, including sample size, gender, age and cut-off point for CA125 levels. Two reviewers (GT and MG) independently reviewed each included study, and disagreements were resolved by adjudication with input from a third reviewer (TL).

The mean differences in CA125 levels between non-survivors and survivors, and between those with fluid overload symptoms compared with those without these symptoms, were determined and meta-analysed. For the relationship between CA125 levels and mortality or readmission endpoints, multivariate adjusted HRs with 95% CI were extracted and analysed for each study. When values from multivariate analysis were not available, those from univariate analysis were used. ORs were converted to HRs using the following formula: HR=OR/(1–baseline risk×(baseline risk×OR)).

Heterogeneity across studies was determined using Cochran’s Q value, which is the weighted sum of squared differences between individual study effects and the pooled effect across studies, and the I² statistic from the standard χ² test, which describes the percentage of the variability in the effect estimates resulting from heterogeneity. I²>50% was considered to reflect significant statistical heterogeneity. The random-effects model using the inverse variance heterogeneity method was used with I²>50%. To locate the origin of the heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis excluding one study at a time and subgroup analyses based on different disease conditions and different endpoints were performed. Funnel plots showing SEs or precision against the logarithms of the OR were constructed. Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test and Egger’s test were used to assess for possible publication bias.

**RESULTS**

A flow diagram detailing the above search terms with inclusion and exclusion criteria is depicted in figure 1. A total of 129 and 179 entries were retrieved from PubMed and EMBASE. Of these, 16 studies were included in the final meta-analysis. The baseline characteristics of the included studies are detailed in table 1. Fourteen studies were prospective cohort studies, one was a retrospective cohort study and one was a randomised controlled trial. The mean follow-up duration was 13 months. A total of 8401 subjects, with a mean age of 71 years old, 52% male, were included in this analysis. Forty-eight per cent (data available from 10 studies) showed left ventricular systolic dysfunction. The mean cut-off point for CA125 was 47.7±16.7 U/mL.

**CA125 and risk of mortality and HF-related hospital readmissions**

Six studies assessed the relationship between CA125 levels and risk of mortality in patients with AHF, all of whom reported higher risk of mortality with increased CA125 levels (table 1). Our meta-analysis shows that high CA125 levels were associated with 68% increase in the risk of mortality (HR: 1.44, 95%
129 and 179 publications were retrieved from PubMed and Embase
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Figure 1 Flow chart of the database search and study selection process for studies evaluating the relationship between carbohydrate antigen-125 (CA125) levels and clinical outcomes in acute heart failure. MA/SR, meta-analysis/systematic review.

Table 1 Data extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Population size (n)</th>
<th>Age±SD</th>
<th>Male, n (%)</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Outcome: all-cause mortality
| Nunez et al (2015) (CA125 Gal3) | 264 | 72.7±11.3 | 131 | 24 | 2.160 | 1.286 to 3.628 |
| Davutoglu et al (2010) | 100 | 65±10 | 59 | 6 | 1.222 | 1.038 to 1.438 |
| Nunez et al (2010) | 1111 | 73±11 | 544 | 6 | 1.700 | 0.988 to 2.925 |
| Mansour et al (2010) | 172 | 55.8±14.5 | 105 | 40 | 2.840 | 1.651 to 4.884 |
| Jang (2017) | 457 | 64.4±16.1 | 236 | 35.9 | 1.241 | 1.051 to 1.465 |

Outcome: AHF-associated readmission
| Nunez et al (2015) (CA125 Gal3) | 264 | 72.7±11.3 | 131 | 24 | 1.530 | 1.069 to 2.189 |
| Kouris et al (2006) | 95 | 70±10 | 95 | 15 | 2.500 | 1.330 to 4.700 |

Mean difference in CA125: with pleural effusion versus without pleural effusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Population size (n)</th>
<th>Age±SD</th>
<th>Standard mean difference</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davutoglu et al (2010)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65±10</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kouris et al (2006)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>70±10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratkovic et al (2016)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHF, acute heart failure; BNP, brain-type natriuretic peptide; CA125, carbohydrate antigen-125.
CI 1.21 to 1.72; p<0.0001; figure 2). I² took a value of 62%, indicating the presence of moderate heterogeneity. A funnel plot of SE against the logarithm of HR is shown in online supplementary figure 3. Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation without continuity correction suggested the presence of no significant publication bias (Kendall’s tau value 0.47, p=0.19). Egger’s test demonstrated significant asymmetry (intercept 2.8, t-value 3.9; p<0.05). Sensitivity analysis excluding one study at a time did not significantly affect the pooled estimate (online supplementary figure 4).

Three studies assessed the relationship between CA125 levels and risk of HF-related hospital readmissions in patients with AHF, all of whom reported higher risk with increased CA125 levels. Our meta-analysis shows that high CA125 levels were associated with 51% increase in the risk of HF-related readmissions (HR: 1.51, 95% CI 1.11 to 2.04; p<0.01; figure 3). I² took a value of 59%, indicating the presence of moderate heterogeneity. A funnel plot of SE against the logarithm of HR is shown in online supplementary figure 5. Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation without continuity correction suggested the presence of no significant publication bias (Kendall’s tau value 1, p=0.12). Egger’s test demonstrated no significant asymmetry (intercept 2.2, t-value 4.1; p=0.15). Sensitivity analysis excluding one study at a time did not significantly affect the pooled estimate (online supplementary figure 6).

Two studies reported on all-cause readmissions, but their study designs were different. One was a randomised controlled trial comparing CA125-guided HF treatment compared with usual care, whereas the other study compared hospitalisations between high and low CA125 cohorts.

### CA125 as a marker of congestion severity
Five studies compared CA125 levels between patients with and without effusion in the context of AHF. Of these, four studies reported significant elevations in this marker with the presence of effusion, whereas the remaining study reported no difference between the two groups (figure 4). The pooled mean difference was 54.8 U/mL (SE: 13.2 U/mL, p<0.0001). I² took a value of 78%, indicating the presence of significant heterogeneity. A funnel plot of SE against the logarithm of HR is shown in online supplementary figure 7. Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation suggested no significant publication bias (Kendall’s tau value 0.2, p>0.05). Egger’s test demonstrated no significant asymmetry (intercept 2.5, t-value 1.5; p>0.05). Once again, sensitivity analysis excluding one study at a time did not significantly affect the pooled estimate (online supplementary figure 8).

### DISCUSSION
The main findings of this meta-analysis are that (1) high CA125 levels are predictive of increased hospital readmissions and mortality in patients with AHF, and (2) CA125 levels are higher in those with pleural effusion. These findings complement and extend those of a recent meta-analysis that...
Mean difference in Ca-125 levels between patients with and without pleural effusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Difference in means (µ/ml)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
<th>Z-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dursil-Nabatieb 2013</td>
<td>157.00</td>
<td>46.07</td>
<td>2034.735</td>
<td>69.412</td>
<td>246.188</td>
<td>3.499</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davutoglu 2010</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>87.959</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>51.400</td>
<td>4.024</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kouns 2006</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>29.954</td>
<td>25.152</td>
<td>56.404</td>
<td>5.356</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratkovic 2016</td>
<td>97.80</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>1719.014</td>
<td>63.370</td>
<td>131.930</td>
<td>5.388</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santes 2016</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>20.31</td>
<td>412.715</td>
<td>-19.417</td>
<td>60.217</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random-effects model</td>
<td>54.780</td>
<td>13.170</td>
<td>173.439</td>
<td>28.975</td>
<td>60.599</td>
<td>4.180</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$I^2 = 78\%$

**Figure 4** Mean difference of carbohydrate antigen-125 (CA125) levels between more severe fluid overload symptoms and those with less symptoms in acute heart failure.

reported the significant prognostic value of CA125 in HF and in predicting atrial fibrillation. The implications are that CA125 may be used to guide clinical management, for example by prescribing higher doses of diuretics for those with high CA125, in whom fluid overload is more severe. It can also be applied synergistically with brain-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), which has shown an association between achieving natriuretic peptide predischarge thresholds and reduced AHF mortality and readmission.

Fluid overload is the hallmark of patients with AHF. However, it is well known that congestion is largely heterogeneous, ranging from patients with predominant intravascular redistribution to those with anasarca. The rationale for searching new markers of congestion stems from the fact that the traditional tools (symptoms, signs, X-ray and natriuretic peptides) have shown a limited diagnostic accuracy for quantifying the degree of fluid overload in patients with AHF.

Our findings suggest that CA125 levels may provide a more objective assessment of greater fluid overload associated with pleural effusion in patients with AHF, in turn helping to optimise diuretic therapy.

In the previous meta-analysis, Zhuang et al assessed CA125 for HF diagnosis, stratification and outcome evaluation of 23 studies, with results in keeping with our analysis. However, the majority of included studies examined chronic HF, with only 4 out of 23 papers relating to AHF, although patients with AHF constituted approximately half of the cohort. Furthermore, the burden of HF has shifted over the recent years from CHF to AHF, for which effective and time-sensitive outcome predictors are urgently needed. This AHF-specific analysis on the utility of CA125 will complement well with Zhuang et al to provide a holistic picture of CA125 as a prognostic marker for CHF as well as AHF.

CA125 is a high-molecular-weight glycoprotein synthesised by coelomic epithelial cells at sites such as the pericardium, pleura and peritoneum. Although CA125 is classically recognised as a tumour biomarker linked with ovarian cancer, high plasma levels have also been reported in organ failure states, such as HF, nephrotic syndrome, hepatic cirrhosis, previous surgery (including abdominal and non-abdominal surgeries), and pancreatic and gynaecological processes, among others. The exact pathogenesis of CA125 elevation in HF is not completely understood. However, preliminary data suggest that activation of mesothelial cells in response to increased hydrostatic pressures, mechanical stress and/or cytokine network activation could act as potential triggers for CA125 secretion.

Along this line, plasma levels of CA125 have consistently shown to be related with symptoms or signs of fluid overload, such as peripheral oedemas, serosal effusions, pulmonary wedge pressures and increasing cardiac pressures. Consistent with this notion, our meta-analysis showed that patients with significant pleural effusions had higher CA125 levels. Furthermore, the present study adds to the literature by showing that CA125 is a useful biomarker for risk stratification purposes, providing incremental value to predict hospitalisations and mortality. Additionally, there are some clinical applications beyond risk stratification that deserve to be commented. First, changes over time of this biomarker have shown to be congruent with clinical status and prognosis, suggesting the utility of glycoprotein for disease monitoring during the first months following a hospitalisation. Second, a recent clinical trial showed that a CA125-guided therapy in patients with AHF, mostly by modifying diuretic treatment, was superior to conventional therapy in reducing the primary endpoint of composite death and HF readmission at 1-year follow-up.

Based on the previous findings, and given the wide availability, low cost and standardised measurements, we strongly believe that CA125 could play a crucial role during the transition from decompensation to stability in patients with HF. Apart from its demonstrated prognostic potential in HF, the utility of CA125 as a biomarker is predicated on its ready availability, long half-life, reproducibility and broad assessment range. When it comes to risk stratification and monitoring, CA125 was also considered to be on par with galectin-3 and soluble ST2. In patients discharged for AHF, it was found that a single determination of BNP at the first ambulatory visit was as effective, in regard to all-cause mortality prediction. However, CA125 was able to provide a temporal perspective allowing for the best discriminative ability compared with either a single or any other change-metrics approach. Furthermore, the cost of conducting a CA125 assay is significantly (approximately 10 times) cheaper when compared with N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP).
It is worth noting that literature on differences in the singular prognostic value of CA125 across various aetiologies is limited. However, elevated CA125 has been shown in other cardiac pathologies such as pulmonary hypertension, aortic stenosis and coronary syndromes, all of which are potential causes of HF. Also, patients used in this meta-analysis had medical histories of cardiac pathologies that could have contributed to AHF.

**Limitations**

There was a high degree of heterogeneity observed in our meta-analysis. There are several reasons this may be the case. This may be due to different commercially available kits with different reference ranges that were used to determine CA125 levels by the different studies. The majority of these used a solution of suspended, submicron-sized latex particles for its measurements. However, clinical performance for measurement of CA125 elevation has not been compared, and this represents a potential limitation. Moreover, the follow-up durations were not uniform across the studies, ranging from 6 months to 36 months. Furthermore, CA125 varied, largely depending on sex, age, comorbidities including ovarian cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and the size of the left atrium (LA). Especially, CA125 level had a strong association with LA size. Also, the different studies included patients with both HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and preserved LVEF. Finally, this study was not a patient-level meta-analysis. Future studies need to carefully account for these potential confounders to better define the relationship between CA125 and acute cardiac decompensation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our meta-analysis found that high CA125 levels are associated with HF symptoms, HF-related hospital readmissions and all-cause mortality. Therefore, CA125 emerges as a useful tool for risk stratification to identify high-risk patients with more severe fluid overload, as well as for monitoring following an episode of AHF. Based on this meta-analysis, CA125 should be measured for patients with AHF prior to discharge to guide the management plan of the patient. Future cohort studies should look into whether CA125 can be used as an acute prognostic marker within the first few hours within admission.

**Author affiliations**

1Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
2Faculty of Medicine, Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
3Faculty of Medicine, Newcastle University, England, UK
4Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Ionic-Molecular Function of Cardiovascular Disease, Tianjin Institute of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China
5Department of Medicine, Kingston General Hospital, Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
6UC School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
7Heart Institute, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain
8Department of Medicine, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
9Cardiology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, INCLIVA, Valencia, Spain
10Departamento de Medicina, Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain
11CIBER in Cardiovascular Diseases (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain
12Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, State Key Laboratory of Digestive Disease, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
13Shenzhen Research Institute, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

**Presented at**

The findings of this manuscript were presented at the Inaugural Clinical and Translational Cardiology Conference held in Hong Kong. The abstract will be published by the Journal of Geriatric Cardiology.

**Contributors**

GT: study conception, study screening, data extraction, data analysis, data interpretation, statistical analysis, manuscript drafting, critical revision of the manuscript. MG: study screening, quality analysis, data extraction, manuscript drafting, critical revision of the manuscript. KHCL: study screening, data extraction, data analysis, data interpretation, statistical analysis, critical revision of the manuscript. RWCL, JCLL, GL, AB, TL, MCSW, APWL, ABG, RfdL, JS and AI: data interpretation, manuscript redrafting, critical revision of the manuscript. WKKW and JN: study conception, study supervision, data interpretation, data interpretation, manuscript redrafting, critical revision of the manuscript.

**Funding**

This work was supported by grants from Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (16/11/00420, 16/11/00403), Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional and Proyecto Integrado de Excelencia (PIE15/00013). GT is supported by a Clinical Assistant Professorship from the Croucher Foundation of Hong Kong.

**Competing interests**

JN reports personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Vifor, personal fees from Abbott, personal fees from Rovi, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, outside the submitted work.

**Patient consent**

Not required.

**Provenance and peer review**

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**REFERENCES**


